Exhibit B

- Case 2.25-67-01433-3110 - Dubanichi 134-2 - Hida 04/12/24 - Hade 2 01	Case 2:23-cv-01495-JHC	Document 194-2	Filed 04/12/24	Page 2 of 1
---	------------------------	----------------	----------------	-------------

DRAFT

THE HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:23-cv-01495-JHC STIPULATION REGARDING PRIVILEGE LOGGING

10

11

12

13

14

15

4

5

6

7

8

9

Plaintiffs Federal Trade Commission and the states of New York, Connecticut, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin, acting by and through their respective Attorneys General ("Plaintiff States," and together with the Federal Trade Commission, "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon" or "Defendant") (together, the "Parties") hereby stipulate regarding the logging of privileged documents in this

16 17

Privilege Logging

matter:

18

19

20

21

- 1. A producing Party shall create a privilege log of all responsive documents or ESI wholly redacted or fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted.
- 22 2. For documents redacted for privilege or protection, the Parties must produce a privilege log containing only the following information: the Bates number, an indication that

24

STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 1 CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580

(202) 326-2222

the document was produced in redacted form, the author/recipient or to/from/cc/occ names, the
subject matter or title, the date created, the type of privilege being asserted, the identity of the
attorney or attorneys involved (if applicable), and the basis for the privilege. If an email is
produced with redactions, the redactions should not obscure the headers (e.g., from, to, cc, bcc,
subject, sent date and time, attachment file names) of the email or any embedded emails, unless
the subject line contains information that is privileged or protected. If a non-email document is
produced with redactions, the redactions should not obscure the equivalent of header
information (including author, from, to, subject, sent date and time, attachment names, as
applicable) unless the subject line contains information that is privileged or protected.

- 3. Privilege logs for documents fully withheld from production or otherwise fully redacted shall include a unique identification number for each document, indicate the Bates number of the document containing privileged material and those of any document family relationships, and state the claim asserted. Privilege logs shall be produced in Excel (.xls, .xlsx, or .xlsb) format unless not possible due to technical reasons such as the size of the privilege log, in which case the Parties agree to meet and confer to reach agreement on an alternative method of production or file format. For ESI, privilege log entries shall include the author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Unless otherwise stated in this stipulation, the producing Party shall include additional information necessary for evaluating the privilege claim asserted as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including a description of the contents of the document sufficient to establish that the privilege or protection applies.
- 4. Where email threads are fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, the producing party shall produce any lesser inclusive copies of the

email thread over which it does not claim the privilege or protection. The producing party must
provide privilege log information for the unique most inclusive copy and related family
members. For lesser inclusive copies and related family members, the producing party may
produce only the following privilege log information: the Bates number of the document
containing privileged material and those of any document family relationships, the Bates
number of the associated unique most inclusive copy, the author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc
names, the subject matter or title, the date created, the type of privilege being asserted, the
identity of the attorney or attorneys involved (if applicable), and the basis for the privilege.

- 5. A producing Party shall provide a rolling privilege log approximately six, nine, and twelve months after the commencement of fact discovery. A producing Party must provide a final privilege log no later than 30 days prior to the close of fact discovery. All times provided herein may be changed by agreement of all Parties.
- 6. Privilege logs produced during the Federal Trade Commission's investigation preceding this litigation may be used to challenge any assertion of privilege of any documents produced in the investigation and subsequently produced in this litigation. The fact that Plaintiffs did not challenge a privilege claim during the investigation shall not be used as the basis of a waiver or forfeiture argument.
- 7. The Parties agree that the following privileged or otherwise protected communications shall not be the subject of discovery and need not be placed on a privilege log:
 - a. Non-responsive, privileged documents attached to responsive documents.
 - b. Privileged draft contracts.
 - c. Draft litigation filings.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 3 CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC

Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222

8.

3

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC

Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B). Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents, electronically 9.

- stored information (ESI) or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d). The provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b) do not apply.
- 10. If a producing Party determines that it has produced documents or ESI upon which it wishes to make a claim of privilege, it shall give all counsel of record notice of the claim of privilege. The notice must identify each such document, unless the review requires additional time, in which case the producing Party shall notify counsel of record and shall provide a date by which it will provide the identification. If the producing Party claims that only a portion of a document is privileged, the producing Party shall provide a new copy of the document with the allegedly privileged portions redacted.
- 11. Upon receiving notice of a claim of privilege on a produced document, the notified Party, in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B), shall promptly sequester the specified information and any copies it has and shall not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. Copies of privileged documents or information that have been stored on electronic media that is not reasonably accessible, such as disaster recovery backup media, are adequately sequestered as long as they are not restored. If the notified Party disclosed the information before receiving notification of the privilege claim of the producing

Party, it shall take reasonable steps to prevent further use of such information until the claim is resolved.

- 12. If a Party wishes to dispute a claim of privilege on a produced document asserted under this Order, such Party shall promptly meet and confer with the producing Party. If the Parties reach an impasse, the non-producing Party may move the Court for an order compelling disclosure of the information. The Parties shall follow the procedures described in Federal Rule 26(b)(5)(B). Pending resolution of the motion, the Parties shall not use the challenged information for any other purpose and shall not disclose it to any person other than those required by law to be served with a copy of the sealed motion.
- 13. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to provide the titles of Party employees and outside counsel in a name index provided by each producing Party, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties. The name index shall be produced in Excel (.xls, .xlsx, or .xlsb) format unless an alternative format is agreed to by the Parties, and shall include an alphabetical list (by last name) of each name on the privilege log, identifying titles, company affiliations, the members of any group or email list on the log where practicable (e.g., the S-Team), and any name variations used in the privilege log used for the same individual. All attorneys acting in a legal capacity with respect to that particular document or communication will be marked with an asterisk or other designation agreed to by the Parties.
- 14. The producing party wishing to assert a claim of privilege retains the burden of establishing the applicability of the claimed privilege.
- 15. This stipulation and order does not preclude a party or non-party from voluntarily waiving any claims of privilege.

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DRAFT

1	16. Privilege logs that were not produced in the first instance in this litigation, such		
2	as privilege logs that were previously provided in Plaintiffs' investigation or other matters		
3	involving Amazon, shall be produced pursuant to the privilege log protocols or agreements in		
4	those other matters. The parties reserve their rights to request more information for entries on		
5	those privilege logs, and to challenge any privilege claims asserted in those privilege logs.		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	STIPLII ATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		

STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 6 CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222

DRAFT

1		spectfully submitted,
2	SU	SAN A. MUSSER (DC Bar # 1531486) WARD H. TAKASHIMA (DC Bar # 1001641)
3	DA	AVID B. SCHWARTZ (NY Reg. # 4947925)
4	EN	ANIELLE C. QUINN (NY Reg. # 5408943) MILY K. BOLLES (NY Reg. # 5408703)
5	60	deral Trade Commission O Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
6		ashington, DC 20580 I.: (202) 326-2122 (Musser)
7	En	(202) 326-2464 (Takashima) nail: smusser@ftc.gov
8		etakashima@ftc.gov dschwartz1@ftc.gov
9		dquinn@ftc.gov
	ebolles@ftc.gov	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	s/ Michael Jo	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Connecticut
15	Michael Jo (admitted pro hac vice)	s/ Alexandra C. Sosnowski
16	Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau New York State Office of the Attorney	Alexandra C. Sosnowski (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
17	General 28 Liberty Street	Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection and Antitrust Bureau
18	New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (212) 416-6537	New Hampshire Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General
19	Email: Michael.Jo@ag.ny.gov	One Granite Place South
20	Counsel for Plaintiff State of New York s/Rahul A. Darwar	Concord, NH 03301 Telephone: (603) 271-2678
21	Rahul A. Darwar (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Assistant Attorney General	Email: <u>Alexandra.c.sosnowski@doj.nh.gov</u> Counsel for Plaintiff State of New Hampshire
22	Office of the Attorney General of Connecticut 165 Capitol Avenue	s/ Caleb J. Smith
	Hartford, CT 06016 Telephone: (860) 808-5030	Caleb J. Smith (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Assistant Attorney General
23	Email: Rahul.Darwar@ct.gov	Consumer Protection Unit
24	STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDE PAGE - 7 CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222

1 2 3 4	Office of the Oklahoma Attorney General 15 West 6th Street, Suite 1000 Tulsa, OK 74119 Telephone: (918) 581-2230 Email: caleb.smith@oag.ok.gov Counsel for Plaintiff State of Oklahoma	Baltimore, MD 21202 Telephone: (410) 576-6474 Email: Ghonick@oag.state.md.us Counsel for Plaintiff State of Maryland
5	s/ Jennifer A. Thomson	/s Michael MacKenzie
	Jennifer A. Thomson (admitted pro hac vice)	Michael Mackenzie (admitted pro hac vice)
6	Senior Deputy Attorney General Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General	Deputy Chief, Antitrust Division Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General
7	Strawberry Square, 14th Floor	One Ashburton Place, 18th Floor
	Harrisburg, PA 17120	Boston, MA 02108
8	Telephone: (717) 787-4530	Telephone: (617) 963-2369
	Email: jthomson@attorneygeneral.gov	Email: michael.mackenzie@mass.gov
9	Counsel for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Counsel for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Massachusetts
10	1 emisyivama	Mussuchusens
10	s/ Michael A. Undorf	s/ Scott A. Mertens
11	Michael A. Undorf (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Scott A. Mertens (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
	Deputy Attorney General	Assistant Attorney General
12	Delaware Department of Justice	Michigan Department of Attorney General
	820 N. French St., 5th Floor	525 West Ottawa Street
13	Wilmington, DE 19801	Lansing, MI 48933
	Telephone: (302) 683-8816	Telephone: (517) 335-7622
14	Email: michael.undorf@delaware.gov	Email: MertensS@michigan.gov
_	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Delaware	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Michigan
15	s/ Christina M. Moylan	s/ Zach Biesanz
16	Christina M. Moylan (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Zach Biesanz (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
10	Assistant Attorney General	Senior Enforcement Counsel
17	Chief, Consumer Protection Division	Office of the Minnesota Attorney General
1 /	Office of the Maine Attorney General	445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400
18	6 State House Station	Saint Paul, MN 55101
10	Augusta, ME 04333-0006	Telephone: (651) 757-1257
19	Telephone: (207) 626-8800	Email: zach.biesanz@ag.state.mn.us
_	Email: christina.moylan@maine.gov	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Minnesota
20	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Maine	
		s/Lucas J. Tucker
21	s/ Gary Honick	Lucas J. Tucker (admitted pro hac vice)
	Gary Honick (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Senior Deputy Attorney General
22	Assistant Attorney General	Office of the Nevada Attorney General
	Deputy Chief, Antitrust Division	100 N. Carson St.
23	Office of the Maryland Attorney General	Carson City, NV 89701
	200 St. Paul Place	Telephone: (775) 684-1100
24	STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
	ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND IRROPOSED LORDER	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	Washington, DC 20580

PAGE - 8

CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC

(202) 326-2222

1	Email: LTucker@ag.nv.gov	
	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Nevada	
2		
	-/ A A A. II	
3	<u>s/ Ana Atta-Alla</u> Ana Atta-Alla (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
	Deputy Attorney General	
4	New Jersey Office of the Attorney General	
ا ہے	124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor	
5	Newark, NJ 07101	
_	Telephone: (973) 648-3070	
6	Email: Ana.Atta-Alla@law.njoag.gov	
7	Counsel for Plaintiff State of New Jersey	
<i>'</i>	Countries for I turning state of their bersey	
8	s/ Jeffrey Herrera	
	Jeffrey Herrera (admitted pro hac vice)	
9	Assistant Attorney General	
	New Mexico Office of the Attorney General	
10	408 Galisteo St.	
	Santa Fe, NM 87501	
11	Telephone: (505) 490-4878	
	Email: jherrera@nmag.gov	
12	Counsel for Plaintiff State of New Mexico	
13	s/Timothy D. Smith	
	Timothy D. Smith, WSBA No. 44583	
l4	Senior Assistant Attorney General	
	Antitrust and False Claims Unit	
15	Oregon Department of Justice	
	100 SW Market St	
l6	Portland, OR 97201	
_	Telephone: (503) 934-4400	
ا 17	Email: tim.smith@doj.state.or.us	
	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Oregon	
18	s/Stanhan M. Proyagga	
ا ۱	s/ Stephen N. Provazza Stephen N. Provazza (admitted pro hac vice)	
19	Special Assistant Attorney General	
20	Chief, Consumer and Economic Justice Unit	
ا 20	Department of the Attorney General	
$_{21}$	150 South Main Street	
	Providence, RI 02903	
$_{22}$	Telephone: (401) 274-4400	
	Email: sprovazza@riag.ri.gov	
23	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Rhode Island	
-5		
24	STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY OF	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
	ELECTRONICALLY	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	Washington, DC 20580
	PAGE - 9	(202) 326-2222

CASE NO. 23-CV-01495-JHC

s/ Gwendolyn J. Cooley

Gwendolyn J. Cooley (admitted *pro hac vice*) Assistant Attorney General Wisconsin Department of Justice Post Office Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Telephone: (608) 261-5810 Email: cooleygj@doj.state.wi.us

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Wisconsin

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: s/DRAFT
Patty A. Eakes, WSBA #18888
Molly A. Terwilliger, WSBA #28449
1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2800

Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 274-6400

Email: patty.eakes@morganlewis.com

molly.terwilliger@morganlewis.com

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

Heidi K. Hubbard (pro hac vice)
John E. Schmidtlein (pro hac vice)
Kevin M. Hodges (pro hac vice)
Jonathan B. Pitt (pro hac vice)
Carl R. Metz (pro hac vice)
Carol J. Pruski (pro hac vice)
Constance T. Forkner (pro hac vice)
680 Maine Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20024

Phone: (202) 434-5000 Email: hhubbard@wc.com khodges@wc.com

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

Thomas O. Barnett (*pro hac vice*) One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956

Phone: (202) 662-5407 Email: tbarnett@cov.com

Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.